City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 81.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 4, 2006

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT				
DIRECTOR: M. MARGO W			□ Consent	☑ Discussion
SUBJECT: VARIANCE				
VAR-15081 - ABEYANCE ITEM - PUBLIC HEARING - APPLICANT/OWNER: JAQUES JOSEPH LAMOTHE AND LINDA MARIE LAMOTHE - Appeal filed by the applicant from the denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW A 2.75-FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE 10 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK REQUIRED on 0.46 acres at 1830 Rosemere Court (APN 163-03-313-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 1 (Tarkanian). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE: APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:				
Planning Commission Mtg.	1//	Planning Commis	sion Mtg.	3
City Council Meeting	0	City Council Meet	ting	0
RECOMMENDATION: The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL. BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: 1. Location and Aerial Maps 2. Conditions and Staff Report 3. Supporting Documentation 4. Justification Letter 5. Appeal letter and supporting material submitted by the applicant 6. Revised plans by staff 7. Backup referenced from the 08-24-06 Planning Commission meeting Item 56				
Motion made by LOIS TARKANIAN to Approve subject to conditions				

Passed For: 5; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 2 LOIS TARKANIAN, LAWRENCE WEEKLY, LARRY BROWN, GARY REESE, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-OSCAR B. GOODMAN, STEVE WOLFSON)

Minutes:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

KEN VOGT, 9901 West Sahara Avenue, clarified that the request is for a 5-foot setback, not a 2.75-foot setback. The reduction is from 10 feet to 5 feet on the south side of property. He

City of Las Vegas Agenda Item No.: 81.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 4, 2006

verified with COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN that she had received the letters from the neighbors.

Regarding the question of the 2.75 foot setback, MARGO WHEELER, Director of Planning and Development Department, replied that staff notifies on the original plan (and not based on the revised plan dated July 31, 2006.) That plan still shows less than five feet, thus still requiring the variance. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT added that this would be fine, as long as the distance is determined.

COUNCILWOMAN TARKANIAN indicated she visited the area and the only resident who would be affected supported the request.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

